

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE A United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/753,117	01/07/2004	Peter Aronstam	014-15383-USC2	5223
44871	7590 03/03/2005		EXAM	INER
•	MOSSMAN & SRIRAM	I, P.C.	GAY, JENNIFE	ER HAWKINS
2603 AUGU SUITE 700	SIA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON,	TX 77057		3672	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TE
$\sim$

V	
\	

## Office Action Summary

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/753,117	ARONSTAM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Jennifer H Gay	3672	
_	and an the saves about with the		_

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** 

THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failum Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the stature of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the applity received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this content term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nt, however, may a reply be timely filed tory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. cation to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is no	on-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except to	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
1	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition	ion of Claims	
4)🖂	Claim(s) 78-88 is/are pending in the application.	
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from cor	sideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠	Claim(s) 78-88 is/are rejected.	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election re	quirement.
Application	ion Papers	
9)⊠ 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)[] 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b)	☐ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is require	d if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)[] 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received.
;	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received in Application No
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a)).
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certif	ied copies not received.
Attachment	it(s)	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

	• •
1) 🛛	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/04.

4) 📙	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) [	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) [	Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/753,117 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The Preliminary Amendment filed 07 January 2004 has been entered and considered with the Office Action below.

## Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract includes the implied phrase "This invention relates to". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the Cross-Reference Application data should be updated to include the patent number of the parent application.

Appropriate correction is required.

### **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686

Application/Control Number: 10/753,117 Page 3

Art Unit: 3672

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 78-88 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 46-56 and 59 of U.S. Patent No. 6,745,833. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 78 of the instant application is merely a broader recitation of claims 46 and 56 of U.S. Patent No. 6,745,833. The specific correlation between the claims of the instant application and U.S. Patent No. 6,745,833 are as follows:

- Claim 78 Claims 46 and 56.
- Claim 79 Claim 47.
- Claim 80 Claim 48.
- Claim 81 Claim 49.
- Claim 82 Claim 50.
- Claim 83 Claim 51.
- Claim 84 Claim 52.
- Claim 85 Claim 53.
- Claim 86 Claim 54.
- Claim 87 Claim 55.
- Claim 88 Claim 59.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,117

Art Unit: 3672

## Conclusion

Page 4

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bijleveld et al. (US 6,241,028; cited by applicant) discloses a method and system that involves the use of miniature, flowable sensors in a wellbore. The sensors are used to either provide information to downhole equipment or to collect data from downhole equipment. However, Bijleveld et al. fails to disclose or suggest that each of the sensors has a unique address as specifically called for in the claimed method.

Ishikawa et al. (US 6,324,904; cited by applicant) discloses a method and system that involves the use of miniature, flowable sensors in a wellbore during drilling operations. The sensors are used to either provide information to downhole equipment or to collect data from downhole equipment. Ishikawa et al. further teaches that each of the sensors may have its own unique address. However, based on the effective filing data of the instant application, 28 May, 1999, Ishikawa et al. does not qualify as prior art as its effective filing date is 19 August, 1999.

Restarick et al. (US 6,554,064) and Norris et al. (US 6,244,375) disclose downhole sensors that are indicated as having their own unique address. However, Restarick et al. and Norris et al. fail to disclose or suggest that the sensors are flowable as specifically called for in the claimed method. Further, neither Restarick et al. nor Norris et al. qualify as prior art based on the above filing data of the instant application.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer H Gay whose telephone number is (703) 308-2881. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00 and Friday, 6:30-1:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,117 Page 5

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer H Gay Patent Examiner Art Unit 3672

JHG// February 23, 2005